BHF Special Project Grant Review Form

Criteria	Score/Comment
Originality of Science -	High Medium Low
Potential Practical Value -	High Medium Low
Appropriateness of design & methods -	High Medium Low
Feasibility in the time proposed -	High Medium Low
Value for money -	High Medium Low
Standing of applicant in field -	High Medium Low
Staff -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
Consumables -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
Equipment -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
How would you rate the application overall? - 6 is the highest score, 1 is the lowest.	
Scores of 6 & 5 are a recommendation for an award. Scores of 4 & 3 are borderline-high and borderline-low, respectively. Scores of 2 & 1 are a recommendation to reject.	

Please provide an assessment of the application, under the following headings. -

- 1. Originality of science relative to current state of the field
- 2. Strength of any pilot data
- 3. Potential added value and impact of proposed work
- 4. Appropriateness of design and methods
- 5. Feasibility in the time proposed
- 6. Value for money highlighting any specific concerns regarding costs

If the application proposes the use of animals, please also comment on whether:

- the research question can be addressed without the use of animals
- the species is justified
- the experimental design is appropriate
- the number of animals requested and the power calculations are appropriate

Any additional confidential comments to the committee: -