

BHF Programme Grant Review Form

Criteria	Score/Comment
Originality of Science -	High Medium Low
Potential Practical Value -	High Medium Low
Appropriateness of design & methods -	High Medium Low
Feasibility in the time proposed -	High Medium Low
Value for money -	High Medium Low
Standing of applicant in field -	High Medium Low
Staff -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
Consumables -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
Equipment -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
How would you rate the application overall? - 6 is the highest score, 1 is the lowest. Scores of 6 & 5 are a recommendation for an award. Scores of 4 & 3 are borderline-high and borderline-low, respectively. Scores of 2 & 1 are a recommendation to reject.	

Please provide an assessment of the application, under the following headings. -

1. Originality of science relative to current state of the field
2. Strength of any pilot data
3. Potential added value and impact of proposed work
4. Appropriateness of design and methods
5. Feasibility in the time proposed
6. Value for money - highlighting any specific concerns regarding costs

If the application proposes the use of animals, please also comment on whether:

- the research question can be addressed without the use of animals
- the species is justified
- the experimental design is appropriate
- the number of animals requested and the power calculations are appropriate

Any additional confidential comments to the committee: -