

BHF Infrastructure Grant Review Form

Criteria	Score
Strength of the University cardiovascular research strategy -	High Medium Low
Contribution of the infrastructure to building, maintaining or enhancing local cardiovascular research capacity -	High Medium Low
Appropriateness of the infrastructure for the intended purpose -	High Medium Low
International competitiveness of applicants and beneficiaries based on their research achievements and objectives -	High Medium Low
Sustainability plans for the infrastructure -	High Medium Low
Value for money, taking into account contributions from other sources of funding -	High Medium Low
<p>How would you rate the application overall? Please add any additional comments for the applicants and Committee below. - 6 is the highest score, 1 is the lowest.</p> <p>Scores of 6 (outstanding) & 5 (excellent) are fundable. Scores of 4 (very good) & 3 (good) are borderline-high and borderline-low, respectively. Scores of 2 (unsatisfactory) & 1 (poor) are not fundable.</p>	
<p>Please provide an assessment of the application, under the following headings. These comments may be fed back anonymously to the applicant(s) - any confidential comments should be entered in the next section. –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strength of the University cardiovascular research strategy. • Contribution of the infrastructure to building, maintaining or enhancing local cardiovascular research capacity. • Appropriateness of the infrastructure for the intended purpose. • International competitiveness of applicants and beneficiaries based on their research achievements and objectives. • Sustainability plans for the infrastructure. • Value for money, taking into account contributions from other sources of funding. 	
Any additional confidential comments for the committee (optional) -	