

BHF Career Re-entry Research Fellowship Review Form

Criteria	Score/Comments
Originality of Science -	High Medium Low
Potential Practical Value -	High Medium Low
Appropriateness of design & methods -	High Medium Low
Feasibility in the time proposed -	High Medium Low
Standing of proposed fellow (if named) -	n/a (unnamed) Outstanding Good Unsuitable
Standing of supervisor in field (if named) -	n/a (unnamed) Outstanding Good Unsuitable
Value for money -	High Medium Low
Consumables -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
Equipment -	Appropriate Excessive Inadequate Not Applicable
How would you rate the fellow? - 6 is the highest score, 1 is the lowest. Scores of 6 & 5 indicate a fundable candidate Scores of 4 & 3 indicate borderline-high and borderline-low candidates, respectively. Scores of 2 & 1 indicate that the candidate is not fundable.	
How would you rate the proposed training, study or research? - 6 is the highest score, 1 is the lowest. Scores of 6 & 5 are a recommendation for an award. Scores of 4 & 3 are borderline-high and borderline-low, respectively. Scores of 2 & 1 are a recommendation to reject.	

Please provide an assessment of the application, under the following headings. These comments may be fed back anonymously to the applicant(s) - any confidential comments should be entered in the next section –

1. Track record and competitiveness of the named student or fellow (please refer to guidance for the relevant scheme)
2. Suitability of supervision and mentorship
3. Originality of science relative to current state of the field
4. Strength of any pilot data
5. Potential added value and impact of proposed work
6. Appropriateness of design and methods - please also consider the information provided in the Diversity and Inclusion Details section of the application form when making this assessment
7. Feasibility in the time proposed
8. Value for money - highlighting any specific concerns regarding costs

If the application proposes the use of animals, please also comment on whether:

- the research question can be addressed without the use of animals
- the species is justified
- the experimental design is appropriate
- the number of animals requested and the power calculations are appropriate

Any additional confidential comments to the committee -